

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/341,700	09/24/1999	KARL-HERMANN SCHLINGENSIEPEN	P63763US0	5460
7:	590 07/03/2002			
JACOBSON PRICE HOLMAN & STERN			EXAMINER	
400 SEVENTH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		ZARA, JANE J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/341,700	SCHLINGENSIEPEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Jane Zara	1635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	April 2002 .				
2a)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is action is non-final.				
3)						
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 52-69 is/are pending in the application	on.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>59-69</u> is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

File

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/341,700

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the communication filed April 15, 2002, Paper No.

24.

Claims 52-69 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restriction

Newly submitted claims 59-69 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The original election of SEQ ID NO: 1754 was made in the communication filed October 30, 2001, Paper No. 22.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 59-69 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Response to Arguments and Amendments

Withdrawn Rejections

Rejection of claims 35-51 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in light of Applicants' amendments filed April 15, 2002, Paper No. 24.

Rejection of claims 49-51 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in light of Applicants' amendments filed April 15, 2002, Paper No. 24.

Application/Control Number: 09/341,700

Art Unit: 1635

Rejection of claims 35-46 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), as being anticipated by Crooke, is withdrawn in light of Applicants' amendments filed April 15, 2002, Paper No. 24.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 52-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Milner et al and James in view of Vaerman et al and Smetsers et al, the combination in view of Crook, Baracchini and de la Monte et al.

The claims are drawn to a method of selecting and preparing antisense oligonucleotides comprising at least 8 nucleobases whereby the oligonucleotides do not contain 4 or more consecutive elements capable of forming three hydrogen bonds each with 4 or more consecutive cytosines or guanosines, and whereby the oligonucleotides do not contain two or more series of three consecutive elements capable of forming hydrogen bonds each with three consecutive cytosines or guanosines, and whereby the ratio of C's or G's/(G's or C's) + (A's or T's) is greater than 0.29, more preferably between 0.33 and 0.86, which oligonucleotides further comprise

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/341,700

Art Unit: 1635

modified bases, sugars or internucleotide linkages, and optionally comprise a covalently linked folic acid or hormone and a lipid.

Milner et al and James teach methods of selecting effective antisense reagents to target genes of known sequences, which oligonucleotides may further comprise nuclease stabilizing sugar, base or internucleoside modifications, and which oligonucleotide compositions may further comprise lipids (See entire text of Milner et al, especially figure 3, page 539. See entire text of James, especially pages 197-198).

The primary references do not teach preferred antisense subsequences which enhance antisense or nonantisense effects of oligonucleotides, nor do they teach the cellular cytotoxicity of antisense in relation to specific cytosine or guanosine content or configurations, nor the incorporation of hormone or lipid moieties into oligonucleotides.

Smetsers et al teach the effect of cytosine and guanosine configurations, as well as G, C, A and T content of preferred antisense oligonucleotides, their effects on target binding and on their inhibition of target gene expression, their effects on enhancing antisense or nonantisense functions, and their induction of biologic effects (see entire document, especially the abstract and introduction on page 63; table 1 on page 65; and the text on page 66).

Vaerman et al teach antiproliferative effects of antisense oligonucleotides comprising both target gene inhibition and toxicity due to the content and configuration of cytosine residues within the oligonucleotides (See entire text, especially abstract and introduction, page 331; tables 1-5 and figure 1).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/341,700

Art Unit: 1635

Crooke (Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol., Vol. 32, pages 329-377,1992) teaches methods for the preparation of antisense oligonucleotides comprising at least 8 residues, a maximum of twelve elements which are capable of forming 3 hydrogen bonds to cytosine bases, but does not contain 4 or more consecutive elements, does not contain 2 or more series of 3 consecutive elements, comprises a ratio of 3H bond forming elements to total nucleotides between 0.33 and 0.86, optionally comprises internucleotide, sugar and/or nucleobase modifications for enhancing stability against nucleases, and optionally comprises covalently linked hormones, peptides or phospholipids (See entire document, especially table 3 on page 335; last two paragraphs on page 335-first two paragraphs on page 337; compounds 1787, 1788, 1795 and 1796 in table 4 on page 345; first full paragraph on page 346; and first three full paragraphs on page 361).

Baracchini et al teach methods for the preparation of antisense oligonucleotides comprising at least 8 residues, a maximum of twelve elements which are capable of forming 3 hydrogen bonds to cytosine bases, but does not contain 4 or more consecutive elements, does not contain 2 or more series of 3 consecutive elements, comprises a ratio of 3H bond forming elements to total nucleotides between 0.33 and 0.86, optionally comprises internucleotide, sugar and/or nucleobase modifications for enhancing stability against nucleases, and optionally comprises covalently linked hormones, peptides or phospholipids (See entire document, especially col. 6-9; SEQ ID Nos: 16 and 20).

De la Monte et al teach the conjugation of hormones to antisense oligonucleotides for enhanced cellular uptake (See col. 29, lines 1-20).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/341,700

Art Unit: 1635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine methods of designing and selecting optimal and effective antisense oligonucleotides for targeting and inhibiting the expression of genes of known sequence, because such techniques had been taught previously by Milner et al and James, and were routine methods in the art at the time the invention was made. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to target genes involved in cellular proliferation, because the role of numerous genes including Fos, Jun, erb, p53 had been taught routinely in the art, and the motivation to inhibit gene expression using antisense had been taught previously by the Milner et al, James and Vaerman et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected that antisense oligonucletoides exert effects in both a non-specific and sequence specific way relative to the target gene sequence, as taught previously by Vaerman et al and Smetsers et al, which non-specific effects have been observed in in vitro cellular toxicity studies, and which toxicity or alternative biological effects have been correlated with cytosine and guanosine content and configuration, as taught previously by Vaerman et al and Smetsers et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to design and utilize antisense oligonucleotides comprising less than 2 C or G triplets, and comprising no G or C tetramers, because the sequences of optimal antisense oligonucleotide sequences for a given target gene have routinely lacked such sequence configurations, as taught previously by Crooke (i.e. Table 4 on page 345), Baracchini (i.e. Seq ID Nos: 16 and 20) and Vaerman et al (i.e. Table 1 on page 332). Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reduce toxicity effects of antisense by reducing the number of

Application/Control Number: 09/341,700 Page 7

Art Unit: 1635

consecutive cytosine residues in an antisense construct because the correlation between cytosine content, cytotoxicity and other nonantisense biological effects have been taught by Vaerman et al and Smetsers et al and antisense oligonucleotides avoiding such configurations have been routinely taught by many in the field, including Crooke and Baracchini. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate sugar, nucleobase and internucleotide modifications into antisense oligonucleotides, as well as conjugating biological effector molecules such as hormones onto antisense oligonucleotides, because such modifications have been shown to enhance oligonucleotide stability, enhance cellular uptake, target binding, and enhance the biological effectiveness of antisense, as taught previously by Milner, James, Baracchini, Vaerman et al and Crooke.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone numbers for the Group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jane Zara** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5820. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, can be reached on (703) 308-0447. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JZ

June 30, 2002

SEAN McGARRY RIMARY EXAMINER